IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO.114 OF 2004
IN
APPEAL NO.100 OF 2004
IN
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.905 OF 2002
IN
SUIT NO.900 OF 2002

Jayesh Jayantilal Doshi .. Appellant
V/s
Smt.Hemlata Heramb Naik & Ors. .. Respondents

Mr.Piyush Shah for the Appellant.

CORAM: DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN &
S.J.VAZIFDAR, JJ.

DATE : 3rd August, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the Appellant. None appears for the Respondents. The learned Counsel for the Appellant undertakes to file an affidavit of service within a period of two weeks from today. Perused the affidavit in support of the Notice of Motion. For the reasons stated therein sufficient cause is made out for condoning the delay caused in filing the Appeal. There is no case of inaction, negligence or want of bonafide on the part of the Appellant. Hence, Notice of Motion is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

(DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN, J.)

(S.J.VAZIFDAR,J.)